# OMT Tech Exchange Report

**AMG-16** 

17 December 1996

Bob Lutz The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory (301)953-5000 Robert.Lutz@jhuapl.edu

## **PURPOSE**

- Review/prioritize current OMT issues list
- Formalize multiple inheritance issue
- Identify additional information needs
  - Internal to OMT
  - External to OMT
- Identify modifications targeted for OMT 1.1

# HIGHEST PRIORITY OMT ISSUES

- Multiple inheritance
- Additional information needs
- Security

# MULTIPLE INHERITANCE

#### **Need:**

- Greater flexibility
- Closer mapping to underlying implementation for some simulations
- Facilitates FOM reuse

No explicit "hard" requirement for MI identified. However, experience from OO community has shown the utility of the

# MULTIPLE INHERITANCE

#### **Issues:**

- Declarative vs. runtime implementation
- No current RTI support for MI
  - Subscription processing conflicts
- MI representation in OMT

# MULTIPLE INHERITANCE

#### **Recommendations:**

- Form MI issue team
  - Define MI within HLA context
- Resolve declarative vs. runtime implementation issue
- Develop study plan for subsequent activities
- Report back at AMG-17

- Object interaction sequences
- Dynamic behavior
- Algorithms
- Expanded OMT Metadata
  - Security profiles
  - Environmental representation
  - Supporting databases

## **OMT V1.1**

- "Notes" Feature
- Used to augment OMT table entries with additional descriptive data
- Examination of data specified via notes leads to identification of potential OMT format/content modifications
- Correct existing ambiguity in Object Interaction Table

## **SUMMARY**

- OMT Tech Exchanges provide an open forum for raising/discussing OMT issues
- Recommendations will be provided to the AMG to form study teams for high priority issues
- Progress of issue teams will be reported at OMT Tech Exchanges